

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/769,829	01/24/2001	Norbert Miller	SWR-0037	SWR-0037 5180	
7:	590 02/10/2004		EXAMINER		
Michael A. Ca	antor, Esq.	FISHER, MICHAEL J			
CANTOR COL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Bloomfield, CT 06002			3629		
			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	nn No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/769,82	29	MILLER ET AL.				
·	Examiner	_	Art Unit	A			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Michael J		3629	IMU			
Period for Reply	appears on me	cover sneet with the c	orrespondence ac	luiess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
•	This action is n	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	<del>-</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)		_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "perhaps" in claim 10 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "perhaps" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear as to whether the step (point-of-sale management units) is claimed, thus rendering the scope of the claims unclear and indefinite.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3629

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henrick.

Henrick teaches a method of selling services and/or products using a communications link (Title), wherein the user selects a provider's product (fig 3, 312), transmits an identification code (fig 2, 208), the provider sends codes to a code verification system (414, fig 4), the system triggers a non-contact code verification (this results in 416 in fig 4), and granting access to the user upon code verification (420 in fig 4).

Henrick does not specifically mention a "non-contact, proximity code", however, there is disclosed a code that is transmitted from a wireless device. Thus meeting the limitation of "non-contact" and "proximity" as defined in the specification.

As to claims 2-4, the communications links are shown to be a mobile telephone network or other wireless network (abstract, lines 4 and 5).

As to claim 5, the code would be issued for a user for a limited time (as long as the user keeps an account current).

As to claim 6, the user calls the system, thereby enabling the link.

Art Unit: 3629

As to claim 7, telephone networks are well known to use optical cable, thereby meeting the limitations as claimed.

As to claim 8, the code would be released by selective activation (upon receipt of an order by a user.)

As to claim 9, Henrick discloses a central, mainframe, processing system (web server).

As to claim 10, the mainframe would inherently be equipped with a data telecommunications interface (modem, to connect to the Internet).

As to claim 11, the method could be used for other items than songs, such as reserved parking space, the information processed would be reservation information.

As to claim 12, an accounting statement would be automatically generated (it would be inherent that a bill would be generated.)

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US PAT 6,568,595 to Russell et al., Russell et al. disclose a method for carrying out electronic commerce over the internet, US PAT 6,640,098 to Roundtree, Roundtree discloses electronic commerce over a wireless network.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J Fisher whose telephone number is 703-306-5993. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30am-5:00pm alt Fri. off.

Art Unit: 3629

Page 5

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MF 4/9/04

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

perch